Les dances dels N cossos

Carles Simó

Dept. Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi, UB, Barcelona, Catalunya carles@maia.ub.es

Inauguració del Curs Lagrange 2013–2014 Facultat de Matemàtiques i Estadística Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Barcelona 1310021245

The *N*-Body Problem

Let $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^2$, j = 1, ..., N the positions of the bodies and $m_j > 0$, j = 1, ..., N the masses. We shall mainly refer to the **planar problem**.

Equations of motion under gravitational attraction

$$\ddot{z}_j = \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^N m_k \nabla_{z_j} f(r_{k,j}) ,$$

where $r_{k,j} = |z_k - z_j|$ and f(r) is the **two-body potential** equal to 1/r in the **Newtonian case**. Later on we shall comment on the **strong force potentials** $f(r) = 1/(ar^a), a \ge 2$.

The problem has **fist integrals**:

- 1) the **center of mass** $\Sigma_{k=1}^{N} m_k z_k$ moves on a straight line with constant velocity. From now on we take $\Sigma_{k=1}^{N} m_k z_k = 0$,
- 2) the **angular momentum** $c = \Sigma m_k z_k \wedge \dot{z}_k$,
- 3) the energy H = K + U, where $K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} m_k |\dot{z}_k|^2$ (kinetic energy) and $U = -\sum_{1 \le k < j \le N} m_k m_j f(r_{k,j})$ (potential energy).

It is also important to use the **moment of inertia** $I(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} m_k(z_k, z_k)$.

Solutions

Unless we consider some **very special solution** only the **two-body problem** can be solved **explicitly**.

The simplest solutions would be **fixed points**. There are not, but they can be found if we consider the problem in **rotating coordinates**.

They give rise to the so called **relative equilibrium solutions (res)**. The N bodies rotate as if they were a **rigid body**.

They can be found by requiring $\ddot{z}_j = \lambda z_j, j = 1, \ldots, N$, where λ is a constant, the same for all j, or as **critical points** of **the potential** U **restricted to some given level of the moment of inertia**: $U|_{I=M\rho^2}$, ρ being the **radius of inertia** and $M = \sum_{k=1}^{N} m_k$.

If we consider the case of **equal masses** $m_j = 1, j = 1, \ldots, N$,

as we shall do in what follows,

a regular N-gon is a res with all bodies moving periodically on the same circle. This kind of solution for N = 3 was discovered by Joseph-Louis Lagrange (Torino 1736-Paris 1813) in 1772. (Born as Giuseppe Ludovico Lagrangia).

Due to the **homogeneity** one can scale time and distance so that it is enough to consider the solutions: a) on a given level of energy h < 0, or b) on a given level of inertia $I = \rho^2$, or c) with a fixed period. For most of the talk we shall consider the **period fixed:** $T = 2\pi$. Then the radius, R, of the circle circumscribed to the N-gon is given by $R = \frac{1}{2R^{a+1}}\sigma_{a,N}$, where $\sigma_{a,N} = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (2\sin(j\pi/N))^{-a-1}$.



Note in these examples (N = 3, N = 11) that all the bodies move periodically on the same circle.

A natural question

Are there other **periodic solutions** such that all bodies with **equal masses** move on the plane along **the same path**?

Only a few years ago a solution in the **Newtonian case** with 3 bodies on the same planar curve, different form a circle, has been proved to exist by Chenciner and Montgomery (December 1999). Moore found also the same orbit in a previous numerical work in 1993 in a different context.

This curve is a **figure eight curve**.



Sketch of the existence proof

Is based on the minimization of the action functional (see later). One can take as test path a curve with U constant (equal to the value of U at the collinear configuration), inside I constant, that one travels with constant velocity. A strong use is made of the symmetries. It is checked, analytically, that an optimal choice of I allows to rule out the possibility of both triple and binary collisions. The proof requires one piece of numerical information: The evaluation of a definite integral along some path defined implicitly. See Chenciner–Montgomery for details.

References

Chenciner, A. and Montgomery, R.: A remarkable periodic solution of the three body problem in the case of equal masses, *Annals of Mathematics* 152, 881–901 (2000).

Moore, C.: Braids in Classical Gravity, *Physical Review Letters* 70, 3675–3679 (1993).

Some properties of the figure eight solution with N = 3

a) It passes through all collinear configurations. When 1/12 of a period is known, from collinear to isosceles, the full curve is obtained by the symmetries. The angular momentum is zero.

b) I and U are **almost constant**: $I \in [1.973, 1.982]$, minimum at isosceles, maximum at collinear; $U \in [2.511, 2.667]$, minimum at collinear, maximum at isosceles.

c) The curve is quite **close** to an affine transformation of a **lemniscate**. A fit by a polynomial (in (x, y)) of degree 4 gives errors of the order of 10^{-4} , and they are of the order of 10^{-7} when degree 8 is used.

d) The **eigenvalues** of the monodromy matrix, beyond the trivial ones, are $\exp(\pm 2\pi i\nu_j)$, $\nu_1 \approx 0.00842272$, $\nu_2 \approx 0.29809253$. Hence, it is **linearly stable**.

e) It is possible to obtain an analytical expression of a Poincaré map around the fixed point, with the coefficients computed numerically. A routine normal form check gives that the torsion is indefinite. Hence KAM theorem applies. 3D invariant tori exist around the figure eight.

f) Some "satellites" of the figure eight give also periodic solutions such that the three bodies are on the same path, but this is not true for all periodic satellites. (See illustrations).

g) It is possible to **continue** the figure eight periodic solution to $c \neq 0$. It produces a periodic solution in **rotating coordinates** keeping planar motion. This produces also solutions (**in fixed axes**) with the three bodies on the same curve by choosing suitable values of c.

h) The eight can be **continued to all** a > 0 (the exponent in the potential) and even to $f(r) = \log r$ and beyond. It is found to be linearly stable only for (1.228...>a > 0.868...).

i) It is possible to **continue** the periodic solution to other **nearby masses**, each moving then in a **slightly different "figure eight"**. Stability is only preserved for relative variations of the order of 10^{-5} .

j) Two more families **bifurcate** when changing the **horizontal components of the angular momentum**. Along one of them one finds the **Lagrange solution traveled twice** (Chenciner, Féjoz, Montgomery, *Nonlinearity*, 2004). That is, there is a family of periodic solutions **joining** the eight with the equilateral solution.

A reference

Simó, C.: Dynamical properties of the figure eight solution of the three–body problem, *Contemporary Math. AMS* 292, 209–228 (2001).

A "satellite" orbit of the figure eight. Only the fast mode is excited. Rotation number 11/37. The three bodies travel on the same path. For reference also the figure eight orbit is shown.





Left: A "satellite" orbit of the figure eight. Only the **fast mode is ex**cited. Rotation number 8/27. The three bodies **travel on different** paths. Only two of them are shown here.

Right: A "relative choreography". By taking $c \neq 0$ one finds choreographies in rotating axes (suggested by M. Hénon). After one period in the rotating frame has rotated δ in a fixed frame. If $\delta \in 2\pi \mathbb{Q}$ we get a choreography in the fixed frame. In this case $\delta = \frac{3}{37}2\pi$.

Beyond N = 3

At the end of 1999 Gerver found a "supereight" solution with N = 4.

It was a simple exercise to find a **huge amount of solutions** with all the bodies in the **same curve** and with quite **different shapes** of the curves. Initially, in the Newtonian case. Later on, with different potentials.



I named them **choreographies** because of the **dancing-like motion** of the bodies seen in animations, as we will see later.

(Rather simple choreographies because they are on the same curve. k-choreographies should be used for bodies moving on k different curves).

Two choreographies which differ only by change of scale, rotation, change of orientation, symmetry, etc, will be seen as the same. A sample of choreographies for N = 4 is presented. Newtonian case.



And now some examples with N = 5. Most of them can be seen as **linear** chains with loops of different size. Some loops are eventually folded.

Number 1 consists of a large loop and a small one. In the small loop there are either 1 or 2 bodies for all t.





Note that for N = 4,5 no solution with **one small inner loop** has been found in the Newtonian case. Next we see some **additional examples** and **a movie with several choreographies**.



The set up of the problem

We look for 2π -periodic functions $q: \mathbb{S}^1 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^2$ such that if

$$z_j(t) = q(t - (j - 1)2\pi/N), \quad j = 1, \dots, N,$$

we find a **solution** to the equations of motion.

Different approaches:

i) The **variational** approach: **Minimize** (or, in general, make extremal) **the action** $A = \int_0^{2\pi} L(t)dt$, where L = K - U (the **Lagrangian**) and $K = K(\dot{z}_1, \ldots, \dot{z}_N), U = U(z_1, \ldots, z_N)$. This is equivalent to **minimize** $\int_0^{2\pi/N} L(q(t), \ldots, q(t + (N - 1)2\pi/N), \dot{q}(t), \ldots, \dot{q}(t + (N - 1)2\pi/N))dt$.

ii) The **flow** approach: Look for **initial data**

 $z_1(0), \ldots, z_{N-1}(0), z_N(0), \dot{z}_1(0), \ldots, \dot{z}_{N-1}(0), \dot{z}_N(0)$

such that under $\Phi_{2\pi/N}$, where Φ_t denotes the **time-***t* **flow of the** *N***-body problem**, one obtains $z_2(0), \ldots, z_N(0), z_1(0), \dot{z}_2(0), \ldots, \dot{z}_N(0), \dot{z}_1(0)$. iii) It is also possible to look for q(t) as the solution of a **differential delay equation**.

Some practical comments

For numerical computation of choreographies, both i) and ii) are used.

Severe difficulties appear in highly unstable periodic orbits or in orbits passing close to collision.

It is needed to use **parallel shooting**. It allows to compute periodic orbits even with **dominant eigenvalue of the monodromy matrix** larger than 10^{100} .

As a **general procedure** it is very efficient

- 1) To start a **variational approach with strong force potential** (a=2) to have an initial approximation,
- 2) To **refine** it by using the **flow method** solving for $z_i(0), \dot{z}_i(0), i = 1, \ldots, N$ using **Newton method**, and
- 3) To do continuation (using the flow approach) with respect to the exponent in the potential, trying to reach a = 1, if it is possible.

In 2) it is required to **compute the first variational equations** and this gives the **stability properties** as byproduct.

The functional space

The suitable space where we look for solutions is the **Sobolev space** $H^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}^2)$ (or H^1 for shortness) of functions with **square integrable** first derivative.

The difficulties: Problems appear when the solution approaches a **collision**.

A collision occurs if there exists a double point $q(t_1) = q(t_2), t_2 - t_1$ multiple of $2\pi/N$. Let $\Delta \subset H^1$ be the functions associated to collisions. We would like to see that in each connected component of $H^1 \setminus \Delta$

(or **choreographic class**)

there is a solution minimizing the action. Unfortunately, this seems notto be true for the Newtonian potential. However

Theorem: Consider the case of a strong force potential as defined above $(a \ge 2)$. Then in every choreographic class there is a solution minimizing the action A.

The main reason is that $\forall a < 2$ the contribution of a collision to A is bounded while for $a \ge 2$ it becomes unbounded.

How many choreographies for N = 3?

Question: whether the **number**, even for N = 3, is **finite or not**. The answer is **NOT**. It requires a **Computer Assisted Proof (CAP)** involving rigorous estimates on the so-called **invariant weakly hyper-bolic manifolds of invariant objects at infinity**.





Top: A **choreography** of the 3-body problem. Bottom: A magnification of the central part. In each one of the **binary portions**, the bodies in the binary make **200 revolutions around their centre of masses**.

Some additional references

Simó, C.: New families of Solutions in N-Body Problems, in Proc. 3rd Euro. Cong. Math., Progress in Math. 201, 101-115, Birkäuser, 2001.
Chenciner, A., Gerver, J., Montgomery, R., Simó, C.: Simple Choreographic Motions of N Bodies: A Preliminary Study, in Geometry, Mechanics, and Dynamics, ed. P. Newton et al., 298-309, Springer, 2002.
Kapela, T., Simó, C.: Computer assisted proofs for nonsymmetric planar choreographies and for stability of the Eight, Nonlinearity 20, 1241-1255 (2007).